1 process in this case and is ongoing. The parties understand the court's preference for setting of 2 motions by stipulation; however, the parties are not in a position to assess the time needed for 3 discovery review or motions preparation. If possible, the parties will propose a motions schedule 4 by stipulation prior to the requested next appearance. 5 The parties agree that the ends of justice served by the continuance requested herein 6 outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because the failure to 7 grant the continuance would deny the counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary 8 for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. Time should 9 therefore be excluded pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B)(iv). 10 11 11-27-07 John Paul Reichmuth Date Assistant Federal Public Defenders 12 Counsel for defendant Johnson 13 14 11-27-07 15 Richard Tamor Date Counsel for defendant Bacon 16 17 11-27-07 Date Garrick Lew Counsel for defendant Alexander 18 19 11-27-07 Gail Shifman Date 20 Counsel for defendant Kautzman 21 11-27-07 22 John Hemann Date Counsel for defendant Matthews 23 <u>11-27-07</u> 24 Date Laura Robinson Counsel for defendant Enriquez 25 26

	Case 4:07-cr-00488-CW Document 92 Filed 11/27/2007 Page 3 of 4
1 2	11-27-07 Date Mark Goldrosen Counsel for defendant Reyes
3	11-27-07 Date
5	Date Seth Chazin Counsel for defendant Williams
6	11-27-07 Date
7	Counsel for defendant Arnold
8	11-27-07 Date S/ Diana Weiss Counsel for defendant Green
10	Counsel for defendant Green
11	11-27-07 Date /s/ H.H. "Shashi" Kewalramani
12	Assistant United States Attorney
13 14	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/S/) within this efiled document. _/S/ John Paul Reichmuth_
15	Counsel for Defendant Alicia Johnson
16	
17	ORDER Based on the reasons provided in the stipulation of the parties above, the Court hereby
18 19	finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance requested herein outweigh the best
20	interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial because the failure to grant the
21	continuance would deny the counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. The Court makes this finding
22	because the parties continue to investigate the new discovery, which is voluminous.
23	
2425	
26	
	Stip to Continue, 07-00488 CW 3